

May 14, 1973

that they could not especially be hurt as long as they did not have to pay over 20% of the total bill and there is under this the savings to the State which is badly needed you might say, of over \$1,200,000. We wish to change it in the next fiscal year and go to the basis of 12½ and 12½, that's something we could decide here because these people putting in grants knew that this very possibly was going to be available this way but I would just like to reiterate the grants that we're talking about under this deficiency bill, the people knew when they put the grants in they were going to have to pay at least 20% and possibly 25% on the bill and I think when they do not have to pay over that amount in this legislation, that it's proper.

PRESIDENT: Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: Well I just like to support Senator Simpson. That's exactly what we did in our budget committee. The Governor had, as Senator Marvel said, obligated the State, actually allocating money which he had no authority to do, at 12½% and 12½% and we did feel that 20% when all of those cities thought it was going to be 25%, it still gives them a 5% break, and just because they've drug their feet all this time, I don't see there's any reason to penalize the other cities that had this kind of money, originally the 25%.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President, in reply to Senator Simpson. He says that this would be a savings to the State, it would be a savings at the expense of your local government. The State also knew that there-- they would have to put up 25%. Now they're trying to get by with a measly 5%. To me it's the most unfair thing I've ever heard of.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stahmer. We're still debating the Duis amendment.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and Members of the body. I'd like to support my friend Senator Duis on his amendment and I echo what Senator Nore just said.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the Duis amendment? Senator Duis, do you want to close on your amendment? Senator Duis is closing.

SENATOR DUIS: The only closing I have is that this amendment takes care of the allocation for LB 259 as well as 505 because we do not have in our statutes the percentage of allocation that was left entirely out of the Federal allocation and that is the main reason for this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly. He was closing. Do you have a question of the Chair on order? Go ahead sir.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, I want to know the total amount of money that's in as we are amended now.

PRESIDENT: Alright, Senator Duis, can you give us an indication of the total amount of money as the bill is amended?

SENATOR DUIS: Sir, the total amount of the money is--that I have here was according to the original bill and I would presume it's \$2,300 and some odd thousand dollars (two million and some odd thousand dollars) as requested in LB 505.